

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

116

CR-984-2021

Date of Decision: 27.04.2021.

Dhanna Singh

....Petitioner.

Versus

Sukhdev Singh

....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Ritesh Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Anil Kshetarpal, J. (Oral)

This revision petition has been filed assailing the correctness of an order passed by the learned trial Court granting unconditional leave to defend in a suit filed under Order 37 of C.P.C.

In the suit, the petitioner claims recovery of Rs.6,00,000/- which was allegedly borrowed as a loan by the defendant on execution of the alleged pronote and a receipt.

In the application for leave to defend, the defendant denied the execution of the pronote. In the alternative, he submitted that the pronote has been interpolated.

Learned counsel representing the petitioner, after arguing for some time, submits that even if the respondent is to be permitted to defend the suit, it should have been subject to certain conditions. He submits that the amount due from the respondent has to be secured.

Keeping in view the aforesaid prayer, the revision petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file an application before the trial Court for attachment of the property of the defendant, if any. If such an application is filed, the Court would proceed to decide the same in accordance with law.

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

27.04.2021.

komal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/ No