

215

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-16856-2021
Date of decision-17.08.2021

JASWANT SINGH AND ANR

...Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramandeep Singh Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Pankaj Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the complainant.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed by the petitioners under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.21 dated 30.03.2021 under Sections 420 & 120-B Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Aur, District SBS Nagar, Punjab. The petitioners apprehended their arrest at the hands of Police, in the above FIR.

On 30.04.2021 following order was passed:-

CRM-13123-221

Application is allowed, subject to all just exceptions. Annexures P-6 to P-9 are taken on record.

CRM-M-16856-2021

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the marriage of the daughter of the petitioners,

namely, Amanpreet Kaur was solemnized with son of the complainant on 23.12.2017 and thereafter, the differences between the couple arose and presently, they are residing separately. He submits that as per the allegations, the father-in-law of Amanpret Kaur has alleged wrongful loss, as he spent amount of approximately 36 lacs sending her abroad. According to him, the complainant was not induced to spent the money, as he was making arrangements for his own daughter-in-law. He submits that in the given facts, the custodial interrogation of the petitioners may not be necessary for the time being, as they are willing to join the investigation.

Notice of motion for 17.08.2021.

At this stage, Mr. Pankaj Bhardwaj, Advocate has appeared on behalf of the complainant.

Meanwhile, the petitioners shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be admitted to interim bail on their furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioners shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C”

Learned State counsel who is assisted by ASI Parkash Singh states that indeed the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 30.04.2021 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

17.08.2021

Vipin kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No