

217

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.16794 of 2021
Date of Decision: 28.04.2021**

MOHINDER SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Samina Dhir, D.A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.179 dated 07.07.2020, registered under Sections 326, 323, 324, 506, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station Lambi, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that it is a case of version and cross-version. Petitioner himself has received four injuries on his person as per MLR No.PM/49/LB/2020 dated 03.07.2020 and on the statement of

the petitioner, cross-case vide rapat No.39 dated 17.07.2020 for the offences under Sections 326, 323, 324, 148 and 149 IPC was registered against the opposite party. The dispute was with regard to joint tubewell to which both the parties are co-sharers.

Learned counsel further submitted that the injury falling under the ambit of Section 326 IPC is attributed to co-accused Rajdeep Singh. The FIR was delayed by four days. In respect of the occurrence dated 03.07.2020, the FIR was registered only on 07.07.2020. Petitioner is in custody since 24.02.2021. Petitioner has not been attributed any injury on the person of the injured complainant.

The factual details of the case are not in dispute, however learned State counsel submitted that the challan has not been presented in the present case. Injury falling under the ambit of Section 326 IPC is not attributed to the petitioner.

In view of facts on record, particularly when no specific injury is attributed to the petitioner and the petitioner himself has received four injuries on his person, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail, who is in custody since 24.02.2021.

In view of above, petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing

adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/concerned Duty Magistrate.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

April 28, 2021	(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
<i>Atik</i>	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No