

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.201

CRM-M-16795-2021

Date of decision: 11.10.2021

Jagdeep Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. N.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.17 dated 28.02.2021 under Sections 306 and 34 IPC, Police Station Phul, District Bathinda.

On April 29, 2021, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner while *inter-alia* arguing that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further argued that as per the allegations made out in the FIR, the deceased committed suicide by consuming poisonous substance on account of the harassment faced by him at the hands of the petitioner and others. It is contended that a reading of the said FIR would reflect that there was a dispute between the deceased and the persons mentioned in the FIR on account of a rally that took place, however, there is nothing on the record on prove that there was any instigation at the hands of the petitioner herein driving him to commit suicide other than the bald statement given by the complainant. He also placed reliance upon the judgment rendered in '*Arnab Manoranjan Goswami vs. State of Maharashtra and others, 2021(1), CR (crl.),287*'.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Bhupinder Beniwal, AAG, Punjab appearing through the medium of video conferencing accepts notice on behalf of the respondent State and seeks time to file reply.

Adjourned to 11.10.2021.

Meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join the

investigation within a period of one week and on doing so, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions incorporated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation; co-operated with the investigating agency; the investigation in this case is complete and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

In view of the above, the interim order of this Court dated 29.04.2021, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

October 11, 2021
Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No