

[127] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-956-2021

Date of Decision: 01.10.2021

Vijay Kumar ...Petitioner

vs.

Nitin Kumar Yadav ...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Naveen Kumar Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.
2. Prayer in the petition under Article 215 of the Constitution of India read with Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is for initiating action against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 04.09.2012 in CWP No.17193 of 2012.
3. Notice has not been issued in the instant petition.
4. Nevertheless, learned State counsel has placed on record copy of order dated 21.11.2014 passed by the respondent rejecting the claim of the petitioner.
5. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the aforementioned order was never supplied to the petitioner.
6. Learned State counsel has not been able to point out from the record as to whether order dated 21.11.2014 was ever supplied to the petitioner.
7. Accordingly, in the circumstances, copy of order dated

21.11.2014 is handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner, who states that in the circumstances, he does not press the contempt petition and the same may be disposed of as such while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 21.11.2014.

8. In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action under the contempt of Courts Act, 1971 against the respondents while granting liberty to the petitioner to take out appropriate proceedings in accordance with law qua order dated 21.11.2014.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

01.10.2021
'Amit'

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No