

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(229)

CRWP-3665-2021

Date of decision:- 19.08.2021

Radhika Saini and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Rimple Saini, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, DAG, Punjab for respondents No.1 to 3.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

The Court has been convened through video conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

Petitioners have approached this Court under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a direction to respondents No.1 to 3 for protecting the life and liberty of the petitioners and for directing them not to interfere in their married life at the instance of respondents No.4 to 6.

Upon instructions from, ASI Sukhwinder Singh, State counsel submits that the statements of both the petitioners have been recorded wherein they have stated that they do not have any threat from any of the private respondents.

In view of the statement of State counsel, no further order is called for.

Petition is disposed of.

**(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE**

19.08.2021

Kamal

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No