

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
CRM-M-16999-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.09.2021**

Sushill Kumar alias Sunil Jangra

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Diwan S. Sdlakha, Advocate, for the applicant-petitioner.
Mr. Munish Sharma, A.A.G., Haryana.
Mr. Amandeep Sandhu, Advocate, for respondent no. 2.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Case heard via video conferencing.

CRM-25479-2021

By this application, the applicant-petitioner seeks to place on record an order passed by this court on 15.07.2021 in CRM-M-26840-2021, that being a petition seeking quashing of the FIR in question, on the basis of a compromise stated to have been arrived at between the petitioner and respondent no. 2 herein, i.e. the complainant in the FIR.

Notice in the application.

On the asking of the court, Mr. Munish Sharma, A.A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent no. 1, with Mr. Amandeep Sandhu, Advocate, appearing and accepting notice on behalf of respondent no. 2.

The petitioner also seeks to place on record, vide this application, the statements of the petitioner and his co-accused, as also of the complainant, recorded before the Additional Sessions Judge, Jagadhri, on 09.08.2021, to the effect that the matter has been compromised between them.

The application is allowed subject to all just exceptions with the copy of the aforesaid order, as also copies of the statements, ordered to be taken on record as Annexures P-11 and P-12 respectively, with the accompanying petition.

CRM-M-16999-2021

This petition has been filed under the provisions of Section 439 of the Cr.P.C., for grant of 'regular bail' to the petitioner in case FIR No. 138, dated 21.07.2020, registered at Police Station Bilaspur, District Yamuna Nagar, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under the provisions of Sections 342/384/388/389/506/120-B of the IPC (with Section 379-B of the IPC having been added later).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though this is the 2nd such petition filed by the petitioner, the matter now having been compromised between the parties, the petitioner may be admitted to bail.

Learned State counsel also submits that as per his instructions, the matter has indeed been compromised between the parties.

Consequently, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case for or against the petitioner, the petition is allowed. The petitioner shall be admitted to bail upon his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate.

September 21, 2021
nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes
No.