

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(115)

**CWP No.9668 of 2021
Date of decision: 19.07.2021**

Saroj

...Petitioner

Versus

D.A.V. College Managing Committee and others

...Respondents

(Through video conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ram Bilas Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Shubhra Singh, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

* * *

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the prayer of the petitioner has been rejected by the Educational Tribunal on the ground that the petitioner was an employee of respondent No.3, which is a private agency and, therefore, the petitioner be allowed to withdraw the present petition with liberty to avail appropriate remedy against the said agency by filing appropriate civil suit for the redressal of her grievance.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

July 19, 2021
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No