

203

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-17871-2021

Date of Decision: 11.06.2021

Laddi

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Manoj Bajaj

Present : Mr. Gobind Singh Randhawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that inadvertently two petitions were filed for the same relief by the petitioner i.e. CRM-M-17871-2021 and CRM-M-20129-2021. He submits that the petition (CRM-M-20129-21) filed by him contains the relevant sections as mentioned in the subject FIR No. 52, dated 14.06.2017, whereas in the petition (CRM-17871-2021) filed at the first instance does not contain the offence punishable under POCSO Act 2012. Therefore, he prays for withdrawal of present petition.

Prayer is accepted.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

**(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE**

11.06.2021

Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No