

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-16478 of 2021

Date of Decision: 07.07.2021

Neena Kohli

Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. N. S. Sodhi, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The matter is taken up for hearing through video conference due to COVID-19 situation.

This petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is filed seeking directions to Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar and DCP Investigation, Jalandhar to conclude the investigation on representation (Annexure P1).

On 20.4.2021, learned counsel for the State sought time to have instructions.

Today, learned counsel for the State on instructions from ASI-Rakesh Kumar submits that the matter pertains to Cantonment Board, no complaint was received from Cantonment Board. The representation of petitioner was looked into and filed. She further submits that the petitioner can have filing report from the office concerned on any working day.

In view of the statement, no further directions are called for.

The petition is disposed of.

However, the petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

7th July, 2021

mk

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No