

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

121

CRM-M-16720-2021

Date of decision : 27.10.2021.

Ram Gopal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Ms. Alisha Soni, Advocate for the petitioner.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner has sought quashing of the complaint No.397 of 2018 dated 08.01.2018/11.12.2018 (Annexure P-1) and the summoning order dated 04.12.2018 (Annexure P-2) whereby he has been summoned to face trial.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner himself had received injuries in the occurrence and the injuries received by the private respondents are due to fall and therefore, the petitioner could not have been summoned.

Heard.

It is manifest from the material on record that the complainant had alleged that he had been caused injuries by the petitioner. It is also alleged that the petitioner along with others had come to the shop of the complainant and the petitioner had caught hold of him while co-accused Ishwar had caused injuries on his head with a *danda*. The complainant was examined as CW-1 and CW-2 and CW-3, who were the eye-witnesses have corroborated the complainant's version. The MLR of the injured which was conducted from

the Civil Hospital, Meham has also been placed on record which indicates an injury in the form of a lacerated wound at left side of scalp in temporal region. Therefore, I do not find any infirmity in the order summoning the petitioner to face trial in the wake of *prima facie* material in the form of the testimony of the witnesses and medical evidence.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

However, it is clarified that nothing which has been observed hereinabove shall be deemed to have any bearing on the merits of the case.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

October 27, 2021
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No