

CRWP-3524-2021

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3524-2021

Date of decision: 20.04.2021

Salma Bai

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S.Sitta, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. B.S.Aulakh, Advocate,
for respondents No.4 to 15.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Present criminal writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondents No.4 to 15 and to restrain them from harassing her or interfering in her peaceful life.

Vide order dated 09.04.2021 passed by a Coordinate Bench, notice of motion was issued and the learned State counsel was directed to file the reply.

In pursuance thereof, status report dated 20.04.2021, by way of affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Malout,

CRWP-3524-2021

District Sri Muktsar Sahib, has been filed through e-mail. Print out of the same is taken on record.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

Alongwith the status report, statement dated 16.04.2021 of respondent No.4 has been attached as Annexure R-1/T. In his statement, respondent No.4 stated that his marriage with the petitioner was solemnized in the year 2008 and out of said wedlock, three children were born. He further stated that the petitioner had left the matrimonial house on 04.04.2021 and is now residing with Manoj Kumar, who is already married and is their neighbour. He also stated that no threats had been given to the petitioner by respondents No.4 to 15 and their family members.

Learned counsel for respondents No.4 to 15 submits that no application, seeking protection to the life and liberty, was ever moved by the petitioner to the police and she has straight-forwardedly approached this Court.

Keeping in view the statement made by respondent No.4, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition.

Dismissed being not pressed.

20.04.2021

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No