

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

241a

CRM-M-16288-2021

Date of decision : 04.10.2021.

Amar Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Duhan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rajesh Nain, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 6.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR No.119 dated 20.07.2013 under Sections 341, 323, 506, 148, 149, 451 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Samana, District Patiala as well as Rapat No.17 dated 17.07.2013, on the basis of compromise deed dated 04.03.2021 (Annexure P2), which has been arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the FIR is outcome of a minor altercation between the residents of the same village. Only simple injuries were sustained by both the sides in the occurrence. With the intervention of the respectables, the matter has been compromised. A copy of the compromise is annexed as Annexure P-2.

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 6 states that the matter has indeed been compromised.

This Court vide order dated 27.08.2021 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements

and send a report as to whether the compromise has been arrived at without any coercion or undue influence. The report of the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Samana dated 27.09.2021 has been received, wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, the statements of the parties were recorded which indicates that compromise which has been effected is genuine, voluntary and without undue influence.

The FIR is outcome of a minor altercation between the residents of the same village in which simple injuries were sustained by both the sides. With the intervention of the respectables, the matter has been compromised. In view of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in the case of **Narinder Singh vs. State of Punjab 2014 (6) SCC 466**, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No.119 dated 20.07.2013 under Sections 341, 323, 506, 148, 149, 451 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Samana, District Patiala as well as Rapat No.17 dated 17.07.2013, and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

October 04, 2021

sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No