

CRM-M No. 19135 of 2021 (1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 19135 of 2021  
DATE OF DECISION: 01.07.2021

Om Parkash Pruthi .....Petitioner  
Versus  
State of Punjab and others .....Respondents

*(Heard through Video-Conferencing)*

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present:- Mr. Vaneet Kumar Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)**

The petitioner herein seeks the relief of the issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 4 to take legal action against respondent No.5 and also to protect his and his family members' lives and liberty while averring that there was a monetary dispute between him and respondent No.5 over the supply of some raw material for hosiery products and respondent No.5 has been threatening and intimidating him on this score. It has also been mentioned in this petition that the petitioner has already moved a representation (Annexure P-1) to respondent No.3 for intervening in the matter and to take action in accordance with law.

Ms. Samina Dhir, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab has joined the proceedings in this case on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 in pursuance of the copies of this petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance.

Heard.

It is pertinent to mention here that in his representation

(Annexure P-1), the petitioner, besides seeking protection for his as well as his family members' lives and liberty, has also prayed for the registration of FIR against respondent No.5 under Sections 389,499,500,504,506 IPC.

However, learned counsel for the petitioner restricts his prayer to the issuance of a direction to respondent No.3 to look into and take appropriate action on the said representation (Annexure P-1) to the extent of the prayer of the petitioner qua the protection of his and his family members' lives and liberty and he specifically submits that he does not press for the grant of the above-mentioned remaining relief as claimed by the petitioner in the said representation.

Learned State counsel has no objection for the same.

Keeping in view the above-discussed limited prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioner and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter in hand, respondent No.3- Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana is hereby directed to look into the said representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-1) only to the extent so far as it relates to his prayer for protection of his and his family members' lives and liberty and if it is found that the petitioner and his family members genuinely deserve any protection, then to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

This petition stands disposed of accordingly.

**July 01, 2021**  
pooja

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No