

116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3591-2021

Date of Decision: 20.04.2021

Indu Bala

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Manoj Tanwar, Advocate for the petitioner.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

This Criminal Writ Petition has been filed by the petitioner under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus for directing respondent Nos.2 to 4 to provide adequate police protection to the petitioner and her family members, as respondent No.5 is threatening the petitioner to kidnap her daughter Bharti, in case she does not withdraw her pending appeal pending against respondent No.5.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that her elder daughter was kidnapped by respondent No.5 and in that regard, a case FIR No.276 dated 02.08.2015, under Sections 363 and 366 IPC (Section 6 POCSO Act added later on) (Annexure P-1) was registered against him. He submits that though the trial in the said FIR ended in his acquittal, but the appeal by petitioner against acquittal is pending before this Court. Learned counsel has submitted that respondent No.5 is threatening the petitioner to withdraw the said appeal or he would kidnap her youngest daughter Bharti. He has invited the attention of the Court to the representation dated

Mohindergarh at Narnaul, Mohindergarh has not taken any action upon the same, therefore, she has approached this Court by way of present writ petition. He prays for issuance of necessary directions.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and considering the above background, this Court finds that the ground raised by the petitioner is not worth acceptance, as it is not believable that respondent No.5 would extend threats to the petitioner after his acquittal. Admittedly, during the pendency of the trial, no threat was ever extended by the respondent No.5 and apart from it, a perusal of the representation also reveals that the averments are vague and without any convincing material.

Resultantly, no case is made out for exercising of extraordinary writ jurisdiction.

Dismissed.

20.04.2021
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes	No
Whether reportable	Yes	No