

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

**CRM-M-16088-2021(O&M)
Decided on : 09.04.2021**

VARINDER KUMAR

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. R. Kartikeya, Advocate
for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that inadvertently, Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala, has not been arrayed as respondent in the memo of parties. On his oral request, Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala is ordered to be impleaded as respondent No.7. He has filed amended memo of parties, the same is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions, Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., is for directing the official respondents to take action on the complaint dated 05.01.2021 (Annexure P-6) preferred by the Jalandhar Development Authority for registration of a criminal case under the provisions of Section 36 of the Punjab Apartment and Property Regulation Act, 1995.

Learned counsel contends that despite a complaint (Annexure P-6) having been made by the Jalandhar Development Authority against respondent No.4 to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala, the

authorities concerned have not initiated any action, rather having been sitting over the matter. Learned counsel submits that respondent No.4, who is the accused colonizer lured the petitioner to invest his money for purchase of the plots at Star City RCF near Kapurthala Road, which was as per the brochure suggested to be a regularized colony of PUDA. However, later on, it came to the notice of the petitioner that he had been duped inasmuch as the said colony did not have the requisite permission by the PUDA and the application subsequently moved by respondent No.4 for regularization of the said colony had been rejected by PUDA.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to supply the copy of the paper book during the course of the day.

In view of the above, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.7-Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala, to look into the representation dated 05.01.2021 (Annexure P-6) at the earliest and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

April 09, 2021

S.Sharma(syr)

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*