

102 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
CRM-M-16783-2021
Date of Decision: 29.04.2021
BALDEV SINGH ---Petitioner
versus
STATE OF PUNJAB ---Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Vishwajit Bedi, Advocate for the petitioner.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Case heard via video conferencing.

By this petition, the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail, upon FIR No. 20 dated 20.03.2020, having been registered at Police Station Raja Sansi, District Amritsar, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under Sections 380, 427 read with section 34 of the IPC (with section 411 of IPC having been added later on).

On 22.04.2021 following order had been passed:-

“ Case heard via video conferencing.

On the request of learned counsel for the petitioner, adjourned to 29.04.2021.

However, the adjournment is being granted only on the specific request of learned counsel though otherwise there would no reason whatsoever for this court to interfere in the matter, the first petition filed by the petitioner, under the same provision (Section 438 Cr.P.C.), having been dismissed vide a somewhat detailed order dated 29.10.2020.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has not pointed out any change of circumstance since then.

Any request for an adjournment on that date in any case would result in immediate dismissal of this petition.”

Today, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not wish to pursue this petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

29.04.2021

PARAMJIT

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No