

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-15670-2021
Date of decision: 25.11.2021**

Karun Sehgal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. S. S. Kainth, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

(Through video conferencing)

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 101 dated 16.09.2019, registered under Sections 324, 341, 148 and 149 of the IPC (Sections 307/326 IPC added later on) at Police Station Division No. 2, District Police Commissionerate Jalandhar.

The operative part of the order dated 08.04.2021, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon pendency of CRM-M No.15236 of 2021 to contend that the petitioner and others namely Satvir Sharma, Mohit, Sajjan Patti and Aman were nominated in the supplementary statement of the complainant dated 23.10.2019. Satvir Sharma allegedly gave datar blow on the nose of the complainant but no overt act has been attributed to the petitioner. The parties have amicably resolved their differences by way of compromise dated 20.11.2020.

Notice of motion for 07.05.2021.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 08.04.2021, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the offence under Section 307 IPC is not made out as the injury was on the non-vital part of the body of victim.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Gurcharan Singh, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 08.04.2021, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

25.11.2021

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No