

**111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3473-2021

Date of decision-09.04.2021

Beena Devi and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Karan Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

By means of this writ petition under Article 226 Constitution of India, petitioners seek a writ of mandamus by way of direction to official respondent Nos.1 to 4 to protect their life and liberty from respondent No.5 to 18, and further restraining them from interfering in their peaceful life.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed that previously the protection was granted to the petitioners vide order dated 04.07.2013 (Annexure P-4) passed by Sessions Judge, Fatehgarh Sahib. Later on, when the petitioners attempted to visit their native village, the similar threats were extended by the private respondents and petitioners were constrained to again approach the Court of Sessions to seek protection. Learned counsel has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 19.03.2021 (Annexure P-8) to contend that since the

statements of the respondents were recorded and on the basis of the same, Beena Devi withdrew her petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the respondents have retracted from their undertaking and are again threatening the petitioner No.1 and her husband, therefore, necessary directions be issued.

After hearing learned counsel, this Court finds that since earlier on two occasions, petitioner No.1 approached the Court of Sessions, therefore, this Court does not find any reason to entertain this petition, as the petitioners can avail the remedy before the Court of Sessions.

Disposed off.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

09.04.2021

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No