

COCPC-1234-2021

Date of Decision: 13.07.2021.

Krishan Kumar

...Petitioner

vs.

Vijay Singh Dhaiya

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Ajay Kumar Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.
2. At the outset, learned DAG states that (order dated 17.05.2021, has been passed by the respondent in terms of order dated 30.10.2020, in CWP-18166-2020, accordingly, the petition be disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971. Learned Counsel for the petitioner also states that in view of order Annexure R/1 dated 17.05.2021, having been passed by the respondent in terms of order dated 30.10.2020, in CWP-18166-2020, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the contempt petition and the same may be disposed of as such while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 17.05.2021.
3. I have considered the submissions of learned counsel. In view of order dated 17.05.2021, having been passed by the respondent in terms of order dated 30.10.2020, in CWP-18166-2020, there is sufficient compliance with the order of the writ Court, therefore, no action is required to be taken against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.
4. Accordingly, the contempt petition is disposed of as not calling for any further orders while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 17.05.2021, by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance

with law.

5. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

13.07.2021.
'Rajesh'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No