

206

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-11735-2020
Date of decision-16.07.2021**

RAVINDER KUMAR @ KAKU**...Petitioner**

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA**...Respondent****CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ**

Present: Ms. Prabhjot Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Fateh Saini, Advocate
for the complainant.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.83 dated 04.03.2020 under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468 & 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Barara, District Ambala. The petitioner apprehended his arrest at the hands of Police, in the above FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 20.03.2020, whereby while issuing notice of motion to respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel contends that a sum of ₹ 16,000/- was drawn by the petitioner from the account of his mother after her death, who was drawing the old age pension. It is contended that the

said amount has been returned back by the petitioner and, therefore, his custodial interrogation may not be necessary.

Notice of motion for 14.07.2020.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

At this stage, Mr. Fateh Saini, Advocate appears on behalf of the complainant and filed his Vakalatnama.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the Police and joined the investigation. According to her, the petitioner cooperated with the Police Authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel who is assisted by ASI Gyan Chand does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 20.03.2020 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

16.07.2021

Vipin kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No