

**110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3472-2021

Date of decision-09.04.2021

Amanpreet Kaur

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Vinod K.Kaushal, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Through this writ petition, the petitioner is praying for a writ of mandamus by way of directions to the respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty from respondent Nos.4 to 7.

The facts in brief leading to the writ petition are that petitioner being in love with a boy, wants to solemnize marriage with him. When she disclosed her wish to private respondents, who are parents and brothers of petitioner, respectively, they opposed their relationship. On 22.03.2021, private respondents gave severe beatings to petitioner and threatened her of dire consequences. They compelled petitioner to marry with some other person against her wishes. In this regard, petitioner has submitted a representation dated 24.03.2021 (Annexure P-2) to Commissioner of Police, District Amritsar, however, till date no action has been taken by the said officer. Hence this writ petition.

Learned counsel contends that petitioner apprehends threat from private respondents as they are compelling her for marriage with a

person of their choice. He submits that the grievance of the petitioner conveyed through the representation to respondent No.2 has not been addressed so far, therefore, the necessary directions be issued by providing protection to the petitioners.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court finds that the petitioner has claimed to be in love with a boy, but has chosen not to disclose his name. Strangely, the petitioner has levelled allegations against her parents and brothers, which appear to be wild on the face of it and do not seem to be truthful in the light of the conduct of the petitioner. The allegations of beatings are not even supported by any medical report, therefore, this Court has no hesitation in holding that the petition filed by the petitioner does not warrant any interference.

Resultantly, no case is made out for exercise of extra ordinary writ jurisdiction.

Dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

09.04.2021

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No