

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 3455 of 2021

Date of Decision: 09.04.2021

HARLEEN KAUR AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

V/s.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL.

Present: Mr. Priyanshu Kamra, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to the official respondents to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major, petitioner No.1–Harleen Kaur, aged 26 years and petitioner No.2–Inder Preet Singh, aged 27 years and have got married on 08.03.2021, against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as private respondents. It has been further submitted that the private respondents are threatening to interfere in the marital life of the petitioners. Hence, they are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have also sent a representation dated 05.04.2021 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar (Mohali) in

this regard. He has also annexed a photocopy of the courier receipt through which the said representation has been sent.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar (Mohali), Punjab to look into the representation dated 05.04.2021 (Annexure P-3) *qua* threat perception, if any, and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the petitioners and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

April 9, 2021

Ess Kay

**[MANJARI NEHRU KAUL]
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking / reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>