

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 16214 of 2021

Date of decision: 07.07.2021

Vijay Singh

..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Kanwaljeet Singh Brar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG, Haryana,
for respondent No. 1-State.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner has sought cancellation of the bail granted to respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in view of serious allegations against respondent No.2 of having caused a blow on the head of the father of the petitioner with a spade, he was not entitled to the concession of regular bail. He also contends that respondent No.2 has been threatening the petitioner.

Heard.

It is alleged that a spade blow on the head of the father of the petitioner was given by respondent No.2. Respondent No.2 had been in custody for over two months when he was granted bail. No specific instance of any threat having been given to the petitioner has been brought to light.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

However, in case respondent No.2 threatens the petitioner or otherwise tries to influence the witnesses, the petitioner would be at liberty to prefer an application.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

07.07.2021

Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No