

209 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-15517-2021

Date of Decision : 25.08.2021

PARDEEP SINGH

...Petitioner

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Kumar Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

2. Prayer in the petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 13 dated 19.01.2021, registered under Sections 395, 201 and 412 IPC and Section 25, Arms Act 54 of 1959, at Police Station Julana, District Jind, Haryana.

3. On 08.04.2021, the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has been implicated in a false case registered under Section 395, 201, 412 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act 54 of 1959 and the only allegation against the petitioner is of his having purchased the Eco vehicle from co-accused Udham Singh for a sum of Rs.17,000/-. Learned counsel contends that there is no other case registered against the petitioner apart from the instant case and that the petitioner is ready and willing to join investigation and to fully cooperate with the police.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. AG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State and requests for time to file reply.

Adjourned to 06.05.2021.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join investigation and fully cooperate with the police. However, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on ad-interim pre-arrest bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C., failing which, the interim protection granted to the petitioner, shall stand vacated.”

4. Today, learned counsel for the petitioner contends while learned State counsel on instructions from ASI BhagwadDayal confirms that pursuant to the order of this Court dated 08.04.2021, the petitioner joined investigation, fully cooperated with the police, was released on ad interim pre arrest bail and that his custodial interrogation is not required.

5. In view of the statement of learned State counsel, order dated 08.04.2021, passed by this Court, is made absolute. The petitioner shall abide with the conditions enumerated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. However, nothing stated hereinabove shall be read as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

6. Petition stands *disposed of* in the aforementioned terms.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

25.08.2021

ps

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>