

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3373 of 2021

Date of Decision: 08.04.2021

Sheela and another

Petitioners

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Balkar Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Anupam Bansal, Addl. PP for UT, Chandigarh.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The matter is taken up for hearing through video conference due to COVID-19 situation.

This is a petition for issuance of directions to the official respondents for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners from the private respondent arrayed in the petition.

As per the pleadings, the petitioners have performed marriage against the wishes of their parents and there is a threat perception.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Anupam Bansal, Addl. PP for UT, Chandigarh appearing on advance notice, accepts the same. On instructions from SI-Ashwani Kumar, he submits that the father of the girl has given a statement that he has no objection to the marriage of the petitioners. He further submits that statements of the petitioners have also been recorded. It is stated therein

that they do not apprehend any threat.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no cause of action survives for pursuing the present petition.

Disposed of as infructuous.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

8th April, 2021
mk

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |