

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

209

CRM-M-15506-2021

Date of decision : 30.09.2021.

Ajay

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Vishal Thakur, Advocate for
Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate for the complainant.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 27 dated 28.01.2021, under Sections 323, 506 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, besides Section 10 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 ('POCSO Act' - for short), registered at Women Police Station, NIT Faridabad, District Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it is alleged that the petitioner along with co-accused had given beatings to the complainant and her family members. He also contends that only simple injuries were caused to the complainant. The allegations with regard to Section 10 of the POCSO Act pertain to co-accused, namely, Parveen, who is in custody. He also contends that the parties are closely related to each other and the FIR is the outcome of a dispute with regard to partition of the house where they are residing. He further contends that co-accused, namely, Memwati has been granted anticipatory bail by this Court on 25.08.2021 passed in CRM-M No. 13327 of 2021.

Learned counsel for the complainant contends that in view of the serious allegations against the petitioner, he is not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

This Court, by order dated 25.08.2021 had directed the petitioner to appear before the Investigating Officer and join investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was ordered to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from SI Suman Lata, states that the petitioner has joined investigation.

In view of the above and the petitioner having joined investigation, the Covid-19 Pandemic, the order dated 25.08.2021 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

September 30, 2021

sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No