

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 3452 of 2021

Date of Decision: 09.04.2021

ROZY ANSARI AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

V/s.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL.

Present: Mr. Naresh Chander, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to the official respondents to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondent.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major, petitioner No.1–Rozy Ansari, aged 32 years and petitioner No.2–Shahid Jamal, aged 35 years and have got married on 02.04.2021, against the wishes of the private respondent. It has been further submitted that the private respondent is threatening to interfere in the marital life of the petitioners. Hence, they are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioner has also sent a representation dated 02.04.2021 (Annexure P-4) in this regard to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Panchkula.

On a pointed query put to the learned counsel for the petitioners as to the mode through which the said representation was sent to the

concerned authorities, he has apprised this Court that it was sent through courier and in support of his submissions he has placed on record the courier receipt in Court. The same is taken on record subject to all just exceptions and the Registry is directed to tag the same at the appropriate place.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Panchkula, Haryana to look into the representation dated 02.04.2021 (Annexure P-4) *qua* threat perception, if any, and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the petitioners and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

April 9, 2021

Ess Kay

**[MANJARI NEHRU KAUL]
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking / reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>