

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 257

CRM-M-15821-2021

Date of decision : 15.11.2021

Manjeet and others

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr.Pratham Sethi, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr.Anmol Malik, DAG, Haryana.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. the petitioners seek anticipatory bail in FIR No.329 dated 13.11.2020 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468 and 471 IPC at Police Station Bhiwani Civil Lines, District Bhiwani.

On 01.09.2021 this Court passed the following order: -

“Status report filed by the State is taken on record.

For arguments, adjourned to 15.11.2021.

Seeking ad interim anticipatory bail, learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that the petitioners who are young boys aged between 20-23 years, with no criminal antecedents, have been falsely implicated in this case; in fact the petitioners are themselves the victims at the hands of the co-accused Parmanand and Jaidev Singla; the petitioners were absolutely unaware with regard to the alleged forgery of the orders/ judgments of the Trial Court; the petitioners are also ready and willing to join investigation and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when called, including giving of their sample signatures and that no useful purpose would be served in putting the petitioners behind bars at this tender age especially, in the light of the above submissions.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioners' joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C, in the event of their arrest in FIR No.329, dated 13.11.2020, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC at Police Station Bhiwani Civil Lines, District Bhiwani, they shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. ”

Learned State counsel submits that in pursuance to the afore quoted order the petitioners have joined investigation; have cooperated with the investigating agency and that their custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

In view of the above and the statement made by learned State counsel that the petitioners' custodial interrogation is not required, the order of this Court dated 01.09.2021, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners is made absolute.

15.11.2021
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No