

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(115)

CRWP-3303-2021

Date of decision : 06.04.2021

Irfaan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Randhir Singh Manhas, Advocate
for the petitioner.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

The Court has been convened through video conferencing due to Covid-19 Pandemic.

On oral request made by counsel for the petitioner, the description of respondent No.2 is permitted to be corrected. Instead of “Deputy Commissioner, Rewari”, the substitution shall be “Deputy Commissioner, Bhiwani”.

Let amended Memo of parties be filed.

This petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a roving writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus directing official respondents to get the detenues, mentioned in para No.5 of the petition, released from the illegal detention of respondents No. 4 to 6.

A Division Bench of this Court in *LPA No. 32 of 2013*, titled '**Murti versus The State of Punjab and others**', has held as under:

“It may be mentioned here that the allegations of the appellant in the writ petition are that the alleged detenues mentioned in para No.3 of the writ petition who are working as labourers at the brick kiln of respondent Nos. 4 & 5 are being kept as bonded labours. There can indeed be no doubt that if a labourer has been detained as bonded labour, it amounts to an offence under Sections 16 & 17 of the Bounded Labour (Abolition) Act, 1976. We, however, clarify that the aforesaid observation does not mean that the allegations levelled by the appellant have been accepted. Suffice it to observe that under the Act, the District Magistrate is under statutory obligation to hold a fact finding enquiry as and when a complaint alleging violation of the provisions of Bonded Labour (Abolition) Act, 1976 is received. Since the appellant in the instant case has specifically averred that the persons mentioned in para No.3 of the writ petition have been detained as bonded labourers, we allow this appeal and setaside/ modify the order dated 9.1.2013 passed by the learned Single Judge to the extent that the petitioner's writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the District Magistrate, Sangrur, to treat this writ petition as a complaint under the 1976 Act and take immediate action in accordance with law, within a period of one week from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order alongwith a copy of the writ petition.”

Accordingly, this Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of with a direction to Deputy Commissioner, Bhiwani to treat this petition as a complaint under the Bonded Labour System (Abolition) Act, 1976 and take immediate action in accordance with law, within a period of one week from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order along with a copy of the writ petition.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

06.04.2021
Kamal

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No