

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

213

CRM-M-16719-2021

Date of Decision: 28.04.2021

RAJA RAM

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Goel, DAG, Haryana.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

This is the second petition filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.35 dated 12.04.2019 lodged under Sections 376(2) (n) and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 6 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offence Act, 2012, registered at Women Police Station Sirsa, District Sirsa, Haryana.

On a pointed query put to the learned counsel as to what was the change in the circumstances subsequent to the withdrawal of the previous petition on 23.01.2019, learned counsel has apprised the Court that the prosecutrix and her mother have since been examined. He has, thus, prayed for the concession of regular bail to the petitioner who has been in custody since 12.04.2019 and there is no likelihood of the trial concluding in the near future.

Per contra learned State counsel has vehemently opposed

the prayer made by learned counsel and has apprised this Court on instructions from SI Sushil Bala that both the prosecutrix and her mother have supported the case of the prosecution in its entirety. Hence, he may not be extended the concession of regular bail in the wake of serious allegations levelled against him.

Heard.

No ground is made out to grant the regular bail. However, nothing contained hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

28.04.2021

rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No