

**JASPAL SINGH AND ANR
VS
THE PUNJAB WAKF BOARD, CHANDIGARH**

Present: Mr. Vinod Khunger, Advocate, for the applicant/appellants.

(proceedings conducted through video conferencing)

Having heard learned counsel for the applicant/appellants and having perused judgment dated 25.09.2020, this Court finds that there is an error in reference to the appellants inasmuch as the appellants have been referred to as “appellants/plaintiffs” in judgment dated 25.09.2020 instead of “appellants/defendants”. The said error needs to be rectified. As such, it is ordered that the words “appellants/plaintiffs” as occurring in judgment dated 25.09.2020 be read as “appellants/defendants”.

Reader is directed to carry out the necessary corrections in red ink under his signatures and to incorporate a note at the bottom of the judgment in question. The correct order be uploaded after deleting the previous one. Reader to also carry out necessary correction/s in case there is any corresponding error in the decree-sheet.

As regards the merits of this application, this Court does not find any ground to review the order in question. The application stands dismissed accordingly.

At this stage, learned counsel for the applicant/appellants has submitted that the appeal may be dismissed as withdrawn.

I am afraid that the aforesaid request is rather misconceived inasmuch as the appeal already stands finally decided. The aforesaid request is accordingly turned down.

27.04.2021

Vimal

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE