

**205 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-15524-2021  
Decided on : 11.11.2021

Vikas Dahiya @ Vicky

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present : Mr. G.S.Sidhu, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. D.P.S.Joura, Advocate  
for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*

**Manjari Nehru Kaul, J.**

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.152 dated 29.11.2020 under Sections 313, 323, 34, 376(2)(n) and 450 IPC 1860 registered at Police Station Women Cell District Sirsa.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has since joined the investigation in compliance of order dated 15.07.2021, which was passed in the following terms:

*“Learned counsel for the petitioners prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner while inter alia arguing that the complainant is in the habit of lodging false FIRs under Section 376 IPC and extorting money from different men. In fact, she was married on an earlier occasion with a person named Johnny, which fact has not been disclosed. It is also argued that FSL report itself does not support any allegation of an offence under Section 376 IPC. It is also submitted that*

*in fact the complainant has compromised the matter and would have no objection in case anticipatory bail is allowed.”*

Learned State counsel on instructions from P&I Deepika states that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 15.07.2021, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

11.11.2021  
*sonia*

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)**  
**JUDGE**

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable :       | Yes/No |