

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

122

CRWP No.3338 of 2021(O&M)

Date of Decision: 07.04.2021

Krishma and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Mr. Navdeep Kalair, Advocate for the petitioners.

Lalit Batra, J.(Oral)

Case has been taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing.

Petitioners have sought protection of their life *and* liberty, *inter alia*, taking the plea that they performed the marriage with each other, whereas private respondent No.4 is not accepting their marriage and now they are apprehending danger to their life and liberty from private respondent No.4. Learned counsel for the petitioners contended that the petitioners performed marriage on 02.03.2021.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

At the asking of Court, Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Complete copy of paper book has been supplied to him.

Having considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners and perusal of record, respondent No.2 – Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, is directed to consider the representation dated 31.03.2021 (Annexure P/7) and issue necessary orders, as warranted by law, so as to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondent No.4.

However, it is also made clear that this order shall not be taken to validate the alleged marriage of the petitioners or entitle them for any protection against their arrest or continuance of any criminal proceedings, if they are found involved in the commission of any cognizable offence. In case, the petitioners had committed any offence, the law will take its own course.

With the above said observations made and directions issued, the present petition stands disposed of.

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

07.04.2021
D.Gulati

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No