

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Heard through VC)

CRM No.14452 of 2021 in/and
CRM-M-15422 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: May 28, 2021

Ravi and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Rajat Mor, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Dhruv Sihag, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Jagneet Beniwal, Advocate
for the complainant.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

CRM-14452-2021

This is an application that has been filed for preponing the hearing in the main case, which is now listed for 07.09.2021.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. Hearing in the main case is preponed and the same is taken up for hearing today.

CRM-M-15422-2021

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.50 dated

18.02.2021, under Sections 364, 34 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station City Jhajjar, District Jhajjar.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners herein have been in custody in the aforesaid FIR since 18.02.2021. It is submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case. It is contended that both the complainant and the victim have suffered affidavits to the effect that the case was got lodged on account of some misunderstanding.

On the other hand, counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant and victim states at bar that he would have no objection if the regular bail is allowed to the petitioners.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, opposes the grant of regular bail to the petitioners. He submits that the said affidavits cannot be looked into, as the victim had supported the case as set out in the FIR in his statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the facts that the petitioners herein have been in custody since 18.02.2021, investigation is complete as the challan has already been presented and that complainant and victim have given affidavits that they have no objection, in case, regular bail is allowed to the petitioners, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioners behind bar. At this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioners are directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to

the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

However, anything observed or said by this court is only for the purpose of deciding the instant petition for grant of regular bail and the same shall have no affect on the merits of the case.

May 28, 2021
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No