

119

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3322-2021

Date of decision-07.04.2021

Poonam

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Karandeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

By means of this writ petition under Article 226 Constitution of India, petitioner has prayed for a writ of mandamus by way of directions to official respondent Nos.1 to 3 to protect her life and liberty from respondent Nos.4 to 8.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is having a matrimonial dispute with her husband (respondent No.4) and has already filed divorce petition bearing No.HMA/167/2021 on 18.03.2021. He submits that since husband and other relatives are threatening the petitioner to take her back and would also lodge a false criminal case against her and her parents, therefore, she gave a representation to Superintendent of

Police, Kaithal on 15.03.2021 (Annexure P-4). According to him, no action has been taken upon the representation, therefore, he prays for issuance of necessary directions.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court finds that the petitioner is having matrimonial dispute with her husband and a case has already been instituted by her before the Family Court, Kaithal. The apprehension as expressed by petitioner in her representation neither seems to be probable nor the same is supported with any convincing material. Though the learned counsel argued that the petitioner was beaten severely, but is unable to give the details of the said occurrence, much less the medical record.

Considering the above background, this Court does not find it to be a fit case for exercise of extra ordinary writ jurisdiction.

Dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

07.04.2021

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No