

**120 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3323-2021

Date of decision-07.04.2021

Harpreet Singh and another Vs. ...Petitioners

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Manoj K.Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Through this writ petition, the petitioners are praying for a writ of mandamus by way of directions to the respondent Nos.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty from respondent Nos.4 to 9, as they have solemnized marriage on 03.04.2021 against their wishes.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has argued that the petitioners fell in love with each other and decided to marry. However, private respondents, who are parents and brothers of petitioner No.2, respectively, opposed their relationship as they wanted to solemnize her marriage with a boy of their choice. Therefore, on 03.04.2021 petitioners solemnized marriage at Shri Bhramri Devi Jan Kalyan Institute, Mansa Devi Mandir Market, Sector-4, Panchkula as per Hindu rites. In this regard the photographs (Annexure P-4) are appended with the petition. As per the pleadings, after the marriage of the petitioners, they are receiving threats from respondent Nos.4 to 7. He has invited the attention of the Court to Annexure P-5 to contend

that a representation dated 05.04.2021 has already been filed to to Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran however, till date no action has been taken by the said officer. He prays that appropriate direction be issued.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by learned counsel that the representation dated 05.04.2021 (Annexure P-5), was sent to respondent No.2 and the petition was filed on the very same day i.e 05.04.2021.

After hearing, learned counsel for the petitioners, this Court finds that admittedly, the representation sent by the petitioners did not even reach the office of Senior Superintendent of Police, but the petitioners filed the petition on the same day. It is evident that no time was given to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran (respondent No.2) to consider the grievance of the petitioners for redressal. Apart from it, the representation submitted by the petitioners to respondent No.2 also lacks material particulars and does not reveal the manner and mode of alleged threat extended to the petitioners.

Resultantly, this Court does not find it to be a fit case for exercise of extra ordinary writ jurisdiction.

Dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

07.04.2021

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No