

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

217

**CRM-M-15468-2021(O&M)
Decided on :15.07.2021**

KULDEEP KAUR

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

. . . Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL
(Through Video Conferencing)**

PRESENT: Mr.Ankit Bisnoi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur , AAG Haryana
assisted by SI Jaswinder Singh.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.451, dated 20.08.2020 under Sections 304-B, 34 of the IPC (Sections 328 and 498-A IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Shahabad, District Kurukshetra.

Learned counsel submits that it is yet again a case, as is the trend these days, wherein the complainant-father has implicated the entire in-laws family of his deceased daughter by levelling false allegations against them. Further submits that the fact of the matter was that the deceased had been suffering from depression and due to that she ended her life. While inviting the attention of this Court to the allegations levelled in the FIR, learned counsel submits that it clearly stands reflected that general allegations have been levelled against the petitioner. It has been submitted that similarly situated co-accused Gurmail Singh i.e., the husband of the petitioner has since been extended the concession of bail by this Court vide order dated 04.03.2021 annexed as Annexure P-3.

Per contra, learned State counsel while opposing the prayer and submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on instructions from SI Jaswinder Singh has apprised the Court that challan stands presented however charges are likely to be framed in the near future. She has however not been able to controvert the fact that the case of the petitioner is at par with co-accused Gurmail Singh, who has since been extended the concession of bail by this Court.

Heard.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, I deem it a fit case for grant of the concession of regular bail to the petitioner as the trial is unlikely to conclude in the near future, more so, in the prevailing conditions due to the outbreak of COVID-19. The petition as such is allowed and the petitioner is admitted to bail to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate. However, it is made clear that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

July 15, 2021

S.Sharma(syr)

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>