

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-10977-2020
Date of decision : 02.09.2021
(Heard through VC)

VIKAS RATHI

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Ankur Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Kumar Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J (ORAL)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.111 dated 01.03.2020, registered under Sections 419, 420 IPC at Police Station Sector 32/33 Karnal, District Karnal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance to the order dated 22.07.2021 the petitioner has joined the investigation.

The operative part of the order dated 22.07.2021, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“....Counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the allegations in the FIR, while appearing for a competitive test conducted by SBI, in place of the petitioner one Satyabir was appearing. It is further submitted that the petitioner is a student and his career is at stake and it will be a matter of trial whether the co-accused Satyabir was appearing in place of the

petitioner or not”.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Krishan Lal, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 22.07.2021 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

02.09.2021

tarun sahani

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No