

**219 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-15412-2021

Date of Decision: 16.09.2021

Bhagti Lamba @ Nepali

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Punit Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Geeta Sharma. DAG, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This petition under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. is filed seeking regular bail in FIR No. 1177 dated 08.12.2019 under Sections 34 and 379-B IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 (Subsequently deleted Sections 34 and 379-B and added Sections 395, 397, 120-B IPC) registered at Police Station Sadar, Gurugram.

2. As per the allegations, there was a snatching incident by showing something resembling a pistol.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner basically relies upon the custody period for seeking regular bail.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail, she submits that the petitioner is involved in four more cases and at least in two cases the allegations are similar as in present case. She submits that out of eighteen prosecution witnesses, nine have been

examined and earnest effort would be made to conclude the prosecution

evidence within three months from today.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner on instructions submits that there would be full co-operation from the petitioner side to ensure that the prosecution evidence is concluded at earliest.

6. In view of the statement made by counsel for the petitioner, the petition is disposed of at this stage.

7. There is no doubt, in case a request is made before the Court concerned to proceed in a manner that all prosecution witnesses are examined within three months from today, the same would be considered in accordance with law.

8. Disposed of.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

16.09.2021
Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No