

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND  
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.15476 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision:09.07.2021

(Heard through VC)

Maya Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR**

Present:- Mr. Prashant Singh Chauhan, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Sihag, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)**

This is a petition that has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.519 dated 18.09.2020 registered under Sections 306, 34 IPC at Police Station City Narnaul, District Mahendergarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner herein would contend that the petitioner herein is a lady, who is behind bars for the past five months and the investigation in the ssaid matter is complete. It is argued that as per the allegations set out in the FIR, the petitioner is supposed to have had an illicit relationship with the husband of the deceased on account of which the deceased committed suicide. It is argued that there is nothing on record to show any overt act on the part of the petitioner that would constitute an offence under Section 306 IPC. The matter stands investigated and challan has been presented, therefore, custody of the petitioner would no longer be required.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State

opposes grant of regular bail to the petitioner by contending that the petitioner has been nominated as an accused under Section 306 IPC, however, on the asking of the Court, he is not in a position to dispute the fact that the matter has been investigated and the challan stands presented.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties. Keeping in view the fact that the matter has been investigated and the challan stands presented and the trial is likely to take some time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal/surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate. However, any observation made herein shall not be construed to be an expression on merits of the case. Needless to say that the petitioner shall appear before the trial court on the date charges are to be framed and on subsequent dates as directed by the trial Court.

**July 09, 2021**  
Pankaj\*

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No