

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

207

**CRM-M-16072-2021(O&M)
Decided on : 23.04.2021**

DILPREET SINGH

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF U.T. CHANDIGARH

. . . Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL
(Through Video Conferencing)**

PRESENT: Mr. Ravinder Singh Bassi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Viji, APP UT Chandigarh
assisted by SI Vijay Kumar.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.05, dated 11.01.2020 under Sections 147, 148, 149 and 307 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860; Sections 25, 27, 54 and 59 of the Arms Act registered at Police Station Sector 34 Chandigarh.

Learned counsel submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case in hand, which is evident from the fact that he was not named in the FIR in question nor is there any specific attribution qua him in the alleged crime. He further submits that the petitioner has been in custody since 05.07.2020 and there is no likelihood of the trial concluding any time in the near future as charges are yet to be framed against him.

Per contra, learned State counsel while opposing the prayer and submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on instructions from SI Vijay Kumar has apprised the Court that the petitioner along with co-accused fired from their respective firearms at the

complainant in the occurrence in question and a passer-by sustained injury as a result of the said firing. He has further submitted that one of the vehicles which was used in the crime in question was later on recovered at the behest of the petitioner. It has also been submitted by the learned State counsel that the delay in the trial has been on account of the fact that one of the accused, who took an active part in the occurrence, has since been declared as proclaimed offender. Learned State counsel has thus opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner as he is a part of gang of criminals.

Heard.

Prima facie, there are serious allegations against the petitioner for which he does not deserve the concession of bail. However, it is made clear that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

The petition stands dismissed.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

April 23, 2021

S.Sharma(syr)

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>