

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.122

CWP-7892-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision : 8.4.2021

Hari Ram

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is praying for re-fixation of his pay w.e.f. 1.1.1978 claiming parity with the cases of identically situated persons.

A perusal of the impugned order dated 31.12.2020 shows that the claim has been rejected on the ground that benefit of acquiring higher qualification of B.A Part-I has already been granted to him and the claim of acquiring the higher qualification of B.A has been rejected as the said qualification was acquired after the cut-off date. The claim has also been rejected on account of delay and laches as the petitioner raised the claim 11 years after retirement and more than 40 years after coming into force of the policy dated 19.2.1979.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to cite a single case in which the claimant was identically situated as the petitioner and thus, I do not find any error in the impugned order.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

8.4.2021

Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Whether Reportable

Yes / No

Yes/ No