

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2021/07/13 13:59
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

COC-844-2021

Date of decision : 6.4.2021

Poonam Petitioner (s)
Versus
B.P. Jatav Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Ravi Gakhar, Advocate
for petitioner.

-.-

-.-

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

The case is taken up through video conferencing on account of COVID 2019.

Petitioner, who was working as a Sweeper in Syndicate Bank, has filed CWP-20738-2020 seeking directions to respondents to count her services prior to her regularization for the purpose of computation of pension. That petition was disposed of with a direction to competent authority to decide her legal notice dated 17.8.2020 by passing a speaking order.

Pursuant to aforesaid order, respondent has passed a speaking order dated 24.3.2021 (Annexure P-5) whereby her claim has not been found to be tenable.

Learned counsel for petitioner contends that all the averments made by petitioner in the legal notice have not been addressed in said speaking order.

Be it as it may, claim of petitioner has been considered in said speaking order and not found tenable.

In view thereof, this contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous.

Needless to add that it would be open to petitioner to avail of any other appropriate remedy, if aggrieved by said speaking order.

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

6.4.2021
sjks

Whether reasoned order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No