

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-14962-2021

Date of Decision: 06.04.2021

HARJIT SINGH

.....Petitioner

V/s.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL.

Present: Mr. Inder Pal Singh, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for issuance of directions to the official respondents to look into the complaints dated 09.11.2020, 07.12.2020 and 05.02.2021 (Annexures P1 to P-3 respectively) where he had specifically levelled allegations against the private respondents of subjecting him to physically and mentally harassment and assault in view of the decision rendered by the Supreme Court in the case of **Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and Others**; 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite the aforementioned complaints having been made time and again, no action has been taken by the official respondents. He further submits that the private respondents have been threatening him with dire consequences and on couple of occasions trespassed into his house as well. In the circumstances, he submits that petitioner would be satisfied if appropriate direction is issued to respondent No.2-the Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, Punjab for looking

into the complaints dated 09.11.2020, 07.12.2020 and 05.02.2021 (Annexures P1 to P-3 respectively) submitted by him and take appropriate action under the provisions of law.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. H.S. Sullar, Deputy Advocate General Advocate, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

Keeping in view the limited prayer addressed by the learned counsel for the petitioner, respondent No.2-the Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, Punjab is directed to look into the complaints/representations dated 09.11.2020, 07.12.2020 and 05.02.2021 (Annexures P1 to P-3) of the petitioner and take appropriate steps/action, if any, required under the provisions of law. However, if the respondent No.2 does not find any substance or merit in the allegations levelled by the petitioner, much less, commission of cognizable offences, the petitioner be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the apex Court in **Lalita Kumari** Vs. **Government of Uttar Pradesh and Others**; 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

April 6, 2021

Ess Kay

**[MANJARI NEHRU KAUL]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned : *Yes / No*

Whether Reportable : *Yes / No*