

COC-975-2020

Date of Decision: 19.01.2021

Tarsem Kumar

...Petitioner

vs.

Sukhjit Singh

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Rajinder Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.
2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of stand of the respondents of payment having been made to the petitioner in terms of order dated 09.12.2019, in CWP-26646-2019, he does not press the Contempt Petition and the same may be disposed of as such with liberty to move an application for revival of the Contempt Petition if the circumstances of the case so warrant on reconciliation of payment made as against entitlement.
3. The same is not opposed by learned counsel for the respondent.
4. In view of the position as noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the Contempt is disposed of, as not pressed, with the liberty as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

19.01.2021

'Rajesh-PS'

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No