

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11060-2020

Date of decision:19.01.2021

Mukesh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Vikas Saroha, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG, Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case bearing FIR No.261 dated 27.06.2019 registered under Sections 307, 34 IPC and 25 of the Arms Act, Sections 120-B and 201 IPC added later on, at Police Station Chand Hut, District Palwal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner was indicted in the present case on the basis of a disclosure statement of the co-accused. It is further stated that the petitioner has been in custody since 13.09.2019 and out of 25 prosecution witnesses, only 2 have been examined so far.

On the other hand, learned State counsel points out that the petitioner had given a gun shot injury to the complainant and the pistol had been recovered from him in case bearing FIR No.482 dated 27.08.2019 registered under Sections 323, 506 IPC and 25 of the Arms Act. Even as per FSL report, the bullet matches with the pistol recovered from the

petitioner. Moreover, there is another FIR No.865 dated 19.08.2017 under Sections 392 and 455 IPC registered against the petitioner. It is further stated that the case is fixed for framing of charge.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

Keeping in view the specific injuries attributed to the petitioner coupled with the criminal antecedents of the petitioner, as noticed above, no case for grant of regular bail is made out.

Dismissed.

19.01.2021
ishwar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No