

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.218

CRWP-2648-2020

Date of decision: 12.08.2021

Sonia Devi and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Jagpal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Jagmohan Singh Ghumman, DAG, Punjab.

None for respondents No.4 to 9.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that they have married each other against the wishes of the parents and relatives of petitioner No.1 and on account of this act on their part, they are under threat of being eliminated at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 9. In view of the above, seeking protection, a representation dated 22.02.2020 (Annexure P-4) was made on behalf of the petitioners to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot (respondent No.2), but to no avail.

In the above circumstances, seeking protection of their life and liberty, the petitioners have approached this Court through the present petition.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter, particularly the validity of the alleged marriage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot (respondent No.2) to forthwith consider the aforesaid

representation dated 22.02.2020 (Annexure P-4) made on behalf of the petitioners and thereafter take appropriate action on the same as is deemed fit in accordance with law to ensure the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners.

It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to validate the alleged marriage of the petitioners or protect them from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

August 12, 2021
Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No