

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-11252-2020

Date of Decision: 17.09.2021

Phool Singh

Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Sant Pal Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. Due to COVID-19 situation, the Court is convened through video conference.
2. This petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is filed seeking regular bail in FIR No. 0211 dated 06.07.2019 under Section 170 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 7 and Section 8 of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 registered at Police Station Chandimandir, Panchkula, District Panchkula.
3. The allegations against the petitioner are that being posted as Patwari and he demanded illegal gratification for sanctioned of mutation. Part payment was made and balance amount was to be paid at the time of supply of its copy.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the investigation is complete, challan stands presented. No recovery is to be made from the petitioner.
5. Learned State counsel on instructions opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail. She submits that out of total eight prosecution witnesses four have been examined.
6. The investigation in the matter is complete. The material

witnesses have been examined. No useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody.

7. The petitioner is granted bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

8. The petition is allowed.

9. It is clarified that observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

17th September, 2021
Parveen Sharma

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |