

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

206

CRM-M No.10854 of 2020
Date of Decision:08.03.2021

RAJENDER @ LEELU

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Deepender Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG Haryana.

Mr. Aakash Vashisth, Advocate for the complainant.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

This is the second petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., the first having been dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 15.10.2018 passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court, for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.587 dated 21.07.2017 registered under Sections 148, 149, 302, 323, 506 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 and Sections 324, 307, 325, 34 IPC (added later on) at Police Station Sadar Palwal, District Palwal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is allegedly attributed a gun shot injury, whereas the fact remains that after presentation of the challan, charge under the Arms Act, has not been framed against him and rather the same stands framed against co-accused

Dhramesh. Still further, in the supplementary challan filed by the police, the Investigating Agency has reached a conclusion that the petitioner was not present at the scene of the crime. Emphasizing his arguments on the said pleas, it is submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the above noted FIR.

Learned State counsel assisted by the counsel for the complainant, while vehemently opposing the prayer for bail, submits that in view of the gravity of the offences committed by the petitioner, he is not entitled to the grant of regular bail.

Having sensed that this Court is not inclined to grant the prayer of the petitioner, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the present petition.

Allowed as prayed for. Dismissed as withdrawn.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)

JUDGE

March 08, 2021

Jyoti-IT Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No Whether Reportable Yes/No